

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/719,691	Applicant(s) BUEKERS ET AL.	
	Examiner DHIRU R PATEL	Art Unit 2831	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) DHIRU R PATEL.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Glatz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9, 24-25 and the specification.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 9 and 24-25 being revised to clear up 35usc 112 problems and the specification being revised to add means function as claimed in claim 2.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Dhiru R Patel

DHIRU R. PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)